```
NICOLA T. HANNA
1
   United States Attorney
   BRANDON D. FOX
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Criminal Division
   BENEDETTO L. BALDING (Cal. Bar No. 244508)
   Assistant United States Attorney
 4
   International Narcotics, Money
    Laundering, and Racketeering Section
5
        1400 United States Courthouse
        312 North Spring Street
 6
        Los Angeles, California 90012
        Telephone: (213) 894-2274
 7
        Facsimile: (213) 894-0142
        E-mail:
                    benedetto.balding@usdoj.gov
8
   Attorneys for Plaintiff
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
10
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
                   FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                      No. CR 19-43-GW-4
13
             Plaintiff,
                                       ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT MIGUEL
14
                                      MARTINEZ-ZAVALA'S MOTION TO
                                      DISMISS INDICTMENT
15
                  v.
   DIEGO DIAZ URBANO, et al.,
16
             Defendants.
17
18
        On November 4, 2020, the Court held a video-teleconference
19
   hearing on defendant Miguel Martinez-Zavala's Motion to Dismiss
20
   Indictment for Violation of the Speedy Trial Act (Dkt. No. 75).
21
   Defendant agreed to appear telephonically. Having considered the
22
   record and the papers submitted, as well as the argument of counsel,
23
   the Court DENIES the Motion and adopts its tentative ruling,
24
   attached hereto as Exhibit A. For the reasons stated on the record
25
   and in the tentative ruling, as well as in the Court's prior orders
26
   (Dkt. Nos. 76 and 82), defendant is joined for trial with his co-
27
   defendants on March 23, 2021, a status conference is scheduled for
28
```


March 11, 2021, and the time period of June 9, 2020 to March 23, 1 2021, inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the 2 trial must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(6), (h)(7)(A), 3 (h)(7)(B)(i), and (B)(iv). Nothing in this Order shall preclude a 4 finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that 5 additional time periods are excluded from the period within which 6 trial must commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other 7 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the 8 exclusion of additional time periods from the period within which trial must commence. 10 IT IS SO ORDERED. 11 Tronge No. Www 12 November 12, 2020 13 HONORABLE GEORGE H. WU DATE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 14 Presented by: 15 /s/ 16 BENEDETTO L. BALDING 17 Assistant United States Attorney 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

26

27

28